

## Minutes of the TAPWG Meeting held on 28<sup>th</sup> October, 2021

1. Apologies for absence were received from David Baty and Marc Cresswell.
2. The minutes of the meeting held on 23<sup>rd</sup> September were approved.
3. **Update on progress to install posts on the High Street verge.**

The member who is progressing this work reported that the preferred contractor for this work has been contacted to confirm details but has not yet replied. The contractor will be chased up.
4. **Update on residents' parking.**

A conversation with the OCC Parking Manager established that many towns and villages in the County are looking at residents' parking and hoping to make submissions to OCC who are co-ordinating the schemes for the District Councils. If the Parish Council decide to go ahead with a scheme full details of requirements, including the names of roads, the type of restrictions and details of available funds will need to be given. Properly marked bays with adequate signage will also be needed.

It was decided to ask OCC if they could tell us which Councils already have some residents' parking or have advanced plans so that the Group can look at the types of restrictions that are possible.
5. **Update on electric charging points**

It was reported that OCC's website has a useful section on the charging of electric vehicles. It has links to Government grants for local authorities to install charging points, grants for householders and to a Zap-map showing charging points over the country. There are also details of charging points in Oxford and the progress of a trial carried out by Oxford City Council, Oxford University and local residents using six different charging techniques. West Berkshire and Reading also have details of charging points in their areas on their websites. Large companies such as EDF are planning charging points all over the country.

It should be noted that Government regulations do not appear to allow fast charging points on lamp columns and grants are only given where parking allows overnight or at least four hour day time parking.

Members will continue to provide information on charging points and in due course will make recommendations to the Parish Council about the possibility of installing points in the Village.
6. **Parking Survey**

The three members responsible for initial inputting and collating the data provided by the survey were thanked for all their work.

178 residents made a return which is a response rate of 51%. 97% of responders provided their addresses which is very helpful for analysing the results. It was noted that

an initial finding is that 83% of respondents think that there is a traffic problem in the Village. 81.2% think that there is a parking problem. Also, of the twelve possible concerns the top three are traffic congestion, traffic volume and pedestrian safety. It was decided that members needed time to study the initial results and so were asked to do this and bring categories of concern to the next meeting to allow full use of the “additional comments” made by residents.

The raw data will be further refined to give more insight into reported problems in specific areas of the Village.

#### **7. Any other business**

It was noted that PAWS have asked that TAPWG give consideration to improving safety for cycling in the Village. In particular around parked cars, in the two narrows and at junctions.

8. The date of the next meeting was agreed as Monday, 22<sup>nd</sup> November; this is changed from Thursday 25<sup>th</sup> November.